## Large Spark Ignition (LSI) Engine Working Group Meeting

On March 17, 2004, Air Resources Board (ARB) staff conducted the third LSI working group conference call. A discussion of each agenda item follows:

Agenda item	Discussion
Retrofit	<ul> <li>Verification protocol due out in 2 - 3 weeks</li> </ul>
Verification -	<ul> <li>Kit must achieve a percent reduction or a set numerical level (grams per brake</li> </ul>
	horsepower-hour
General	<ul> <li>Staff leaning towards steady state testing for verification near term and</li> </ul>
	transient testing post 2007
	80% reduction from baseline seems reasonable
	We may have different requirements for equipment that doesn't lend itself to
D ( 5)	the standard retrofits
Retrofit	Who will be Manufacturer of Record for retrofit kits?
Verification -	Not sure how much retrofitting will be done post 2007
Manufacturer	Need to make clear retrofit equipment defects warranty and emissions
of Record /	performance warranty - they are different
Warranty	Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements    Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer requirements   Defects warranty and in-use checks are separate manufacturer   Defects warranty   D
Wallanty	<ul> <li>In-use testing and checks must be robust enough for the some kind of corrective action</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>All testing performed using a statistical approach (a representative sampling)</li> <li>Maintenance outliers are not chosen for in-use compliance checks; kit</li> </ul>
	manufacturer not responsible for end-user maintenance
	<ul> <li>Verification testing based on emissions control group, a range of models</li> </ul>
	determined by the kit manufacturer for which a given kit is effective
	Retrofit kit market isn't lucrative enough in the long run for manufacturers to
	risk a recall if equipment exceeds emissions; the verification itself should give
	ARB a feel for the system's durability
	<ul> <li>Isn't the procedure used already for the ECS Terminox kits acceptable? No, it</li> </ul>
	was a demo project, there wasn't a formal verification procedure yet.
	<ul> <li>Is there a lower-efficiency, lower-cost retrofit option?</li> </ul>
U.L Listing	<ul> <li>OSHA will shut down truck if not U.L. listed in some applications</li> </ul>
	Some retrofits may need to be U.L. Listed
	Kit manufacturers could identify status of U.L. listing in their emission control
Dula at 1	group application
Rule structure	Anxious to see overall rule structure
	• From initial zero-emission concept in SIP, have broadened discussion to look
	at best way to get SIP reductions while maintaining options and flexibility. A
	fleet average scenario would allow retrofit, electric, and low-emission equipment to enter the mix.
	<ul> <li>We're interested in group feedback on the definition of fleet average</li> </ul>
	We looking at treating rentals as a fleet
	<ul> <li>Industry interested in fleet average concept</li> </ul>
	industry interested in neet average concept